

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,914	11/14/2005	Gert Droesbeke	003D.0068.U1(US)	6534
29683	7590 07/20/2006	EXAMINER		INER
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			NGO, HUNG V	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2831	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:
	10/539,914	DROESBEKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	7:::
	Hung V. Ngo	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	: : :
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ıne 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			1 1 :
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	: : .
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		: :
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		N 1	
application from the International Bureau	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	: :
	•		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) •		Summary (PTO-413)	: : .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	(s)/Mail Date: Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	: : :
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06-16-05</u> .	6) Other:	—— (P10-102)	· ! ;

Application/Control Number: 10/539,914

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benzoni (US 5,416,668).

Re claims 1-3, Benzoni discloses a shield cage (20, 24) having a plurality of walls (26, 28, 30, 32) comprising mounting tails (62) or flexible mounting tails (90, 100), a receiving structure (48, 50)

Re claim 4, sheet metal SMT tails (Figs, 3, 4)

Re claim 5, elongated tails (see Figs 3, 4)

Re claim 6, wave solder tails (solder 80) (Fig 2)

Re claims 7, 8, an insertion stop structure (60) is provided outside the region of the mounting tails (Fig 1)

Re claim 10, at least one position pillar (48, 50)

Re claim 14, the invention is capable of functioning as claimed.

Claims 1, 9, 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harting et al (GB 2303258).

Re claims 1, 9, Harting et al discloses a shield cage (1) having a plurality of walls (7, 8) comprising mounting tails (9), a recess (Fig 3)

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 10, see Fig 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benzoni

The teaching of Benzoni as discussed above including a plurality of extensions (60) (re claim 13), but does not disclose the shielding cage is made of a die cast material such as brass having a thermal expansion coefficient substantially equal to the thermal expansion coefficient of the circuit board.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the material of Benzoni et al for intended use since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980),

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 07-17-06 1 mg V NCU

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER